1 23 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 OMAR KING, Case No. 2:22-cv-04484-FLA (BFM) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ACCEPTING 13 v. 14 KINGSLEY, et al., Defendants. 15 16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the court has reviewed the First Amended 17 Complaint, the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Interim 18 Report and Recommendation. No objections to the Interim Report and 19 Recommendation were filed. The court accepts the recommendations of the 20 Magistrate Judge. 21 ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED: 22 23 1. The Interim Report and Recommendation is accepted. Defendants' motion for partial summary judgment and motion to 24 2. dismiss (Dkt. 28) is **granted in part** and **denied in part** as follows: 25 (a) summary judgment is **granted** as to Plaintiff's First Amendment 26

retaliation claims and those claims are dismissed, without prejudice, for

failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

27

28

1	(b) summary judgment is denied in all other respects.
2	(c) Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's retaliation claims against
3	Defendants is denied as moot.
4	(d) Defendants' motion to dismiss the Eighth Amendment excessive force
5	claim against Officer Kingsley is denied .
6	(e) Defendants' motion to dismiss the Eighth Amendment cover-up
7	claims against Defendants is granted and those claims are dismissed
8	without leave to amend.
9	3. Officer Kingsley shall file an Answer to the surviving portions of
10	the First Amended Complaint within twenty (20) days of this Order.
11	
12	Dated: February 5, 2024
13	
14	FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA
15	United States District Judge
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	